Institute and Museum of California Art

IMCA’s Approach to “California Art”
An Inaugural Point of View
In exploring the meaning of “California Art,” part of the role of UCI Institute and Museum of California Art (IMCA) is to define the category. With relatively little reflection, this is a straightforward task:

California Art includes works made in, about, or by artists responding to the California experience. It celebrates the objects and visual art expressions that emanate from the diverse and distinct social, cultural, economic, natural, and other milieus of California.

IMCA, however, has a greater purpose—the robust investigation of this category to reveal urgent, relevant, and diverse perspectives. Thus, defining California Art is an open-ended aspect of IMCA’s work. Efforts have already begun through initial conversations with dozens of scholars and practitioners in the field, including four virtual group meetings conducted during summer 2020. Insights gleaned from these convenings are the foundation of IMCA’s inaugural response, below.

The result of this early examination is a compelling and proactive guideline: California Art is a provocation. It is a question, rather than a conclusion, about the concepts and themes that give meaning to California and to California Art. Calling something California Art, therefore, is a starting point.

This provocation will steer IMCA’s distinct approach to museum and institute practice. IMCA will simultaneously appreciate and reconstitute traditional art histories and canons. It will embrace the inclusive consideration of art and artists both within and outside those traditional boundaries. Thus, art and artists previously overlooked or under-represented by the academy (e.g., for being religious or ceremonial, female, BIPOC, gender non-binary, differently abled, and many others) will receive long overdue consideration. In so doing, IMCA will blaze a fresh path for advancing the multiple and ever-evolving meanings of California’s art history and future.
Beginning the Exploration of California Art

A critical endeavor for IMCA is to define what constitutes California Art. Or, more to the point, to describe why California Art, in being considered as an independent category, contributes to a better understanding of California and its creative past, present, and future.

These defining questions mark the start of IMCA’s journey. The destination, however, is not the development of a dictionary-like set of criteria and characteristics. In large part, this is because “California” is both conceptual and geographic construct overlaying and crossing through pre-existing Indigenous populations and cultures. As such, it persists in a state of constant evolution, making and remaking itself. There is no innate unification of the inhabitants, pursuits, and outputs that propagate within its drawn borders. Those borders do not restrict the movement of people, ideas, and commerce, nor impede natural or human forces such as weather, immigration, and environmental pollution. The fallacy of containing California applies equally to defining California Art. Any codification would be both arbitrary and exclusionary. The criteria for determining who “counts” as a California artist or which art objects belong in this category would be as artificial and porous as the state it claims to represent.

And yet, there is something about California and California Art. This geographic construct has global influence and resonance. The state’s GDP places it among the world’s top economies. It has spawned behaviors, beliefs, and ideologies—beneficial, detrimental, and some blended place in between—that radiate far beyond the state line. The study of this region’s art, therefore, can be an instrument for deliberating some of the ways in which humanity chooses to express itself. It can yield insights into the past and implications for the future.

The First Step

The Buck and Irvine families, through their respective contributions of The Buck Collection and The Irvine Museum Collection, jumpstarted IMCA’s existence with a founding art collection of over 4,500 works, the majority of which were made by artists who at some point lived or created work in the Golden State. These artworks represent important aspects of California’s modern and contemporary art and are the generous and generative baseline for IMCA’s work. As such, IMCA’s starting definition of California Art is works made in, about, or by artists responding to the California experience. It celebrates the objects and visual art expressions that emanate from the diverse and distinct social, cultural, economic, natural, and other milieus of California.

IMCA’s emphasis, then, is on modern and contemporary art, as represented by the stories both held within and elided by IMCA’s founding collection.

As noted above, IMCA’s contribution comes not from staying within some fixed definition, but rather by engaging this art as called for in its vision and mission:

**Vision:** As the epicenter for California Art, IMCA serves as a compelling destination that is **locally engaged** as a cultural catalyst and **globally relevant** as the seminal investigator and presenter of California’s influence and innovation through art. As an essential partner of a leading research university, IMCA brings together students, faculty, scholars, artists, and other publics to generate new knowledge and learning—and to foster transformative experiences inspired by art and its global contexts.
Mission: IMCA explores and celebrates artists and their capacity to develop new forms and ideas in response to the California experience. It collects, conserves, and generates scholarship for modern and contemporary art inspired by the state’s diverse societal, cultural, and natural environments. As an inclusive and dynamic artistic and research hub for the campus, region and beyond, IMCA offers exhibitions, research initiatives, publications, education programs, and community and cultural partnerships that foster meaningful encounters with art for audiences throughout California and globally.

The Process for “Defining” California Art

As a catalyst, IMCA inspires the ongoing exploration of what constitutes the visual art of California and how to engage with it in informed, inclusive, and just ways. As a partner, IMCA engages a diverse community of expert voices and practitioners who have deeply considered this topic. Such a broad array of distinct inputs helps IMCA to forge resonant, current, and multi-faceted institutional perspective and priorities.

Over four Zoom gatherings during the summer of 2020 IMCA convened dozens of scholars in a series of conversations about California Art. The participants included art historians, curators, and artists, some working in academe and others not—each considered an expert in some aspect of California Art. As a follow-up to these convenings, IMCA will host in 2022, health protocols permitting, the first of an annual series of conferences that bring together academics, practitioners, community leaders, students and other participants to keep the examination of “California Art” relevant and evergreen.
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That construct continues to dominate most public art collections. It is the basis of the ecosystem that IMCA hopes to redress. In doing so, IMCA will strive to reframe the existing hierarchy of art historical categorizations, yet not simply replace it with a singular, homogenous, uninflected embrace of anything that anyone might at any time consider to be California Art. Rather, IMCA intends to be a leader in surfacing new ideas and theses worthy of consideration in isolation as well as within the broader context of visual art. This nuanced approach will foster intriguing propositions for further investigation rather than issue authoritative conclusions. A mark of success will be an IMCA that generates as many questions as it attempts to answer.

Embracing Divergent Perspectives

The insights gathered to date from over forty dozen individuals who have devoted much of their professional lives to the critical understanding of art unanimously endorse this expansive view. In fact, they considered the seeming limits of the collection as integral to that broadened view. IMCA's collection, indeed any collection, is non-comprehensive. The contents and the gaps, therefore, become the inventory to be examined. Furthermore, these experts are revealing a number of evocative constructs for California Art and art histories. The process has generated not only good fodder for considering California Art, but also guidance on how to undertake the entire process. One participant urged expansive thinking, saying that it’s the “messiness of it that’s the most interesting” rather than some slick and facile clarity.
Participants repeatedly directed IMCA to embark on a differentiated and necessary path “that doesn’t [blindly] repeat traditional narratives.” They cautioned that “California carries a burden of mythology that resonates with very specific sets of ideas, values, populations, etc. There are huge communities who have always felt excluded from that mythology.” More to their point, no mythology is universal. “History is multi-faceted, and communities experience it differently.” For example, some might experience California as a land of innovation helping humanity while others might see it as privileging innovation over human dignity. Some might view California as a rules-free place for self-discovery while others might perceive it as a rules-free place of anarchy. Participants cautioned IMCA to resist the “motivation [to over-simplify] and instead highlight the heterogeneity, multiplicity, diversity, and intersectionality of the arts in California.”

In finding its defining path, IMCA must understand and reconsider both historic and overlooked histories in order to create fresh and inclusive ways to approach California Art. “If California Art can be defined as rhizomatic, multiple, and migratory; if it can be linked to imagining liberatory political and cultural futures; if it can be art that reckons openly with the past (all of its exclusions and deletions, but also all of its coalitions, struggles, and beauty), then it’s an artistic definition worth fighting for.” Another participant said, “I would love to see a museum of California Art that is as heterogeneous, idiosyncratic, progressive, and innovative as California itself.”

But Is It “Art”?

Along with questioning the value of defining California, there were questions around the range of visual expression to include as “art” in the context of IMCA. A deficiency of previous academic definitions of art is that they have tended to exclude anything made for some purpose other than being “art.” From that academic perspective, it is the intention of the maker (as
interpreted by the academy) that determines whether or not the output is art. But what about visual expression with other intentions?

“Since IMCA aspires to be interconnected with cross-disciplinary approaches of study and academic departments at the university,” probed one participant, “wouldn’t it be more relevant to frame it within the broader context of ‘California Visual Culture’?” Others noted that visual art is a sufficiently expansive scope for IMCA rather than fully embracing all cultural production. Yet, the line between “art | not art” blurs when it comes to particular sorts of visual culture, such as film, community celebrations, commercial graphics, or fashion, as well as with the visual outputs of fields such as data visualization, advertising, or mechanical design. While IMCA will privilege art at its core, exactly what that encompasses will be determined by the question being posed and the approaches to the answers (e.g., via exhibitions, research, programming, and so forth.)

**An Emerging Framework: California Art, Question Mark?**

Perhaps the most intriguing (and frequent) response to “what is California Art?” was reflecting back the question into the answer. **California Art is a provocation; it is a question.** Calling something California Art doesn’t conclude anything—it demands further examination. Those examinations can help surface the concepts and themes that give meaning to California and California Art.

With this over-arching theme in place—California Art as a provocation—participants were able to identify an almost endless number of potential sub-categories, topics, and lines of inquiry (see below). But even for those ideas, participants acknowledged the subjectivity of placing any given artwork or artist into any given category. The rationale for that placement, therefore, only becomes meaningful if it advances the open investigation of an artwork, artist, and category. And by surfacing meanings, IMCA establishes its relevance.
Relevance, of course, is in the eye of the beholder. Today, it is the audience, not the institution, that determines what is relevant. That does not mean that the audience dictates IMCA’s content and programming, but rather that IMCA must take up topics that engage its audiences. And because IMCA has a wide range of audiences—for instance, from Plein Air scholars to undergraduates who have never before been to a museum—not every offering can or should try to engage every audience member equally or in the same way.

So far, IMCA’s exploration of California Art has surfaced a number of evocative, though imperfect, ways to think about this art. These include meta-narratives (or metaphors) for IMCA and sub-themes based on aesthetics and concrete traits; makers and artists; and ideas and concepts. In each case, the theme works to investigate what is within that theme and, thereby, can also shed light on what is adjacent to or outside of the theme.

In the end, regardless of which definitions and themes IMCA chooses to employ, the Museum and Institute must be bold enough to ask questions and pursue answers, and humble enough to know that the pursuit of answers, will be ongoing and incomplete.

Emerging Metaphors and Themes in California Art

The potential lines of inquiry into California Art are limitless. The list below starts to identify some of the interesting propositions raised through IMCA’s initial conversations and research.

Meta-Narratives (Metaphors) for IMCA

Interrogation: Just as California Art can be more of a prod than a statement, so too IMCA promotes the questioning of past, present, and even future art histories. IMCA’s role is both to settle and unsettle art history.
R&D Laboratory: IMCA can be a laboratory for research and development of new art and art histories. It can use art, methods, historical narratives, and more as its raw materials for experimentation and discovery.

California as a “Project”**: As noted various ways above, California is a work in process, not a complete, concise, coherent entity. California Art reflects and refracts that dynamism and so, too, should IMCA. Thus, IMCA is part of the larger project that is California.

Aesthetic and Concrete Trait-Based Sub-Themes

Recontextualizing California Art Movements: Establishing contemporary context and relevance to previously identified California Art movements. This could include updating the mainstream assessments from the past century to enable broader resonance with today’s audiences. Some of those established movements to re-examine might include Light and Space, Chicano Art, California Plein Air nature photography, public murals, etc.

Art and Object Making: Developing new categories and references to the physical aspects of artworks from across the full spectrum of California Art. Unlike the previous work done to identify California Art movements, this new work would reference a much more inclusive universe of art objects and makers.

Example categories might include:

Medium-Based Divisions: Investigating painting, multimedia performance, augmented reality, etc.

Location-Based Divisions: Exploring where the artist lives and makes community, where the artwork was made, where the artwork was initially shown (e.g., institutional exhibition histories), where the artist trained (e.g., by art school or mentor), etc.
Historizing the Present: Examining historic events with contemporary relevance. This could include pre-colonial influences that consider legacy and presence, Japanese American internment, immigrant “repatriation”, or the Black Panther Movement.

Maker and Artist-Based

Identity Making: Examining the demographic and identity constructs of artists and makers. This can surface themes and how those themes interplay with the broader society (e.g., Queer, suburban, Latinx, Hmong, etc.)

Vernacular and Youth Culture: Revealing the influence of language and fads on branding and mainstream culture. This can be seen through surfer and lowrider lifestyles, graffiti and street art, Pachuca/o culture, etc.

Idea and Concept-Based

This is an open-ended category of society-based themes. A few examples might include:

California Dream: Utopia | dystopia; California mythologies of innovation, opportunity, self-realization, and ambition.

Migration and the Movement of Peoples: Integration, segregation, assimilation, subjugation, and community cultural pride.

Making Maps and Crossing Borders: Representations, chartings, immigration, colonization, settlements, frontiers, boundaries, and intersections.
**Political Action:** Labor and farm labor movements, feminism and gender politics, social justice and racial equity, environmentalism and industrialization.

**Functional Art and Design:** Commercial design and architecture, data visualization, fashion and wearable design.

**Disruption and Re-Creation:** From colonial conquest to blasting train tunnels through granite to resettlement, gentrification, the technology revolution and much more, California has constant and multiple streams of disrupting or reworking the status quo in pursuit of the new.

**California Art Focus Groups and Other Contributors**

In 2020, IMCA sought input about California Art from a diverse community of voices and practitioners who have thought deeply about this topic. Twenty-six people in four focus groups offered a broad array of distinct inputs that have helped IMCA to formulate these initial perspectives on California Art. Dozens of others contributed insights via individual, often informal, conversations. IMCA is grateful for everyone’s liberal thinking and provocative ideas. Inclusion in the list below signifies that the person generously participated in the formal focus group process. Because the museum sought diverse and divergent opinions, inclusion on this list does not represent endorsement of the work above.

Kevin Appel  
Artist; Chair, Department of Art;  
Interim Associate Director, Institute and Museum of California Art  
University of California, Irvine

Elizabeth Armstrong  
Curator, Writer, Museum Consultant

Susana Smith Bautista, PhD  
Director and Chief Curator  
AltaMed Foundation Art Collection

C. Ondine Chavoya, PhD  
Professor of Art and Latina/o Studies  
Williams College

Bridget R. Cooks, PhD  
Associate Professor, Departments of African American Studies and Art History; Interim Associate Director, Institute and Museum of California Art  
University of California, Irvine

Jill Dawsey, PhD  
Curator  
Museum of Contemporary Art San Diego

Cecilia Fajardo-Hill, PhD  
Independent Art Historian and Curator; Visiting Scholar  
Chicano Studies Research Center de University of California, Los Angeles

Russell Ferguson  
Professor, Department of Art  
University of California, Los Angeles

Peter Frank  
Associate Editor  
Fabrik Magazine

Todd Gray  
Artist and Professor Emeritus  
California State University, Long Beach

Catherine Gudis, PhD  
Associate Professor of History and Director, Public History  
University of California, Riverside

René de Guzman  
Director of Exhibition Strategy and Senior Curator of Art  
Oakland Museum of California

Josh Kun, PhD  
Professor and Chair in Cross-Cultural Communication  
Annenberg School for Communication and Journalism  
University of Southern California

Nancy Marie Mithlo, PhD  
Professor, Department of Gender Studies and Affiliated Faculty, American Indian Studies Interdepartmental Program  
University of California, Los Angeles
Devi Noor  
Curatorial Assistant, American Art  
Los Angeles County Museum of Art

Chon Noriega, PhD  
Distinguished Professor of  
Theater, Film, and Television;  
and Director, Chicano Studies  
Research Center  
University of California,  
Los Angeles

Bruce Richards  
Artist and Educator

Cara Romero  
Photographer  
Cara Romero Photography |  
Chemehuevi

Amy Scott, PhD  
Executive Vice President,  
Research and Interpretation;  
Marilyn B. and Calvin B. Gross  
Curator of Visual Arts  
Autry Museum of the American  
West

Scott A. Shields, PhD  
Associate Director and Chief  
Curator  
Crocker Art Museum

Jenni Sorkin, PhD  
Associate Professor, History of Art  
and Architecture  
University of California,  
Santa Barbara

John D. Spiak  
Director and Chief Curator  
Grand Central Art Center  
California State University,  
Fullerton

Tyler Stallings  
Director  
Frank M. Doyle Arts Pavilion  
Orange Coast College

Rachel Teagle, PhD  
Founding Director  
Manetti Shrem Museum of Art  
University of California, Davis

ShiPu Wang, PhD  
The Coasts Endowed Chair in the  
Arts and Professor of Art History  
University of California, Merced

Cécile Whiting, PhD  
Chancellor’s Professor,  
Department of Art History  
University of California, Irvine

HELEN PASHGIAN  
Blue Secret, 1994  
Industrial epoxy resin in acrylic frame  
36 x 26 x5 in.  
The Buck Collection at UCI Institute  
and Museum of California Art  
© M. Helen Pashgian Aug. 6, 2018

Back Cover:  
GUY ROSE  
Lifting Fog, 1916 (detail)  
Oil on canvas  
24 x 29 in.  
The Irvine Museum Collection at  
University of California, Irvine